ClickHouse Performance Master Class

Tools and techniques to speed up any ClickHouse app

Presenters: Alexander Zaitsev and Mikhail Filimonov

April 23 @ 8:00 am PDT



(c) 2024 Altinity Inc.

Let's make some introductions

Us

Database geeks with centuries of experience in DBMS and applications

You

Applications developers looking to learn about ClickHouse



ClickHouse support and services including Altinity.Cloud

Authors of Altinity Kubernetes Operator for ClickHouse

and other open source projects



What's a ClickHouse?



© 2024 A

ClickHouse is a SQL Data Warehouse

Understands SQL

- Runs on bare metal to cloud
- Shared nothing architecture
- Stores data in columns
- Parallel and vectorized execution
- Scales to many petabytes
- Is Open source (Apache 2.0)

And it's really fast!



Performance in ClickHouse



© 2024 A

ClickHouse is Very Fast



.. but sometimes it may go slow



What does "slow" mean may be different

Execution time of a single query?

Execution time of multiple concurrent queries?

Single node or a cluster?

Data latency?

Maximum time? Median? Percentile?



Bottlenecks may be different too

I/O?

CPU?

RAM?

Network?

Background operations?

ZooKeeper?



Single Query Optimization



© 2024 A

Plan of Attack

Find the slow query

Check if it is slow by itself or because of other workloads

Find the reason it is slow

Optimize





Our tools

benchmarks

query_log

ProfileEvents

metric_log, asynchronous_metric_log

EXPLAIN ...

clickhouse logs, set log_level='trace'





trace_log

Do benchmarks!

"But on staging it used to work fast..."

Do you have the same amount of data on staging?

Are you sure it's slow on every run on production?

What are other queries running? Also merges / mutations / backups etc.

clickhouse-benchmark is your friend!



Benchmarks: what can you look at?

Basic stats (execution speed, memory, bytes read etc)

ProfileEvents in query_log (you can also see them in clickhouse-client)

\$ clickhouse-client --print-profile-events --profile-events-delay-ms=-1

SELECT 1

Query id: d1ef9149-64ea-425d-89cb-6d8fcc17fd7e



[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	ContextLock: 9 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0	InitialQuery: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	MemoryTrackerPeakUsage: 9208 (gauge)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	MemoryTrackerUsage: 9144 (gauge)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	NetworkSendBytes: 61 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	NetworkSendElapsedMicroseconds: 66 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	OSCPUVirtualTimeMicroseconds: 111 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	OSReadChars: 491 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	OSWriteChars: 8 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	QueriesWithSubqueries: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	Query: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	RWLockAcquiredReadLocks: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	RealTimeMicroseconds: 111 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	SelectQueriesWithSubqueries: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	SelectQuery: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	SelectedBytes: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	SelectedRows: 1 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	SystemTimeMicroseconds: 9 (increment)
[chi-github-github-0-0-0.chi-github-github-0-0.demo.svc.cluster.local]	2024.04.23 13:43:47 [0]	UserTimeMicroseconds: 103 (increment)



Benchmarks: A/B tests of the same query?

WITH

```
query id='8c050082-428e-4523-847a-caf29511d6ba AS first,
      query id='618e0c55-e21d-4630-97e7-5f82e2475c32 AS second,
      arrayConcat (mapKeys (ProfileEvents), ['query duration ms', 'read rows', 'read bytes', 'written rows',
'written bytes', 'result rows', 'result bytes', 'memory usage', 'normalized query hash', 'peak threads usage',
'query cache usage']) AS metrics,
      arrayConcat (mapValues (ProfileEvents), [query duration ms, read rows, read bytes, written rows, written bytes,
result rows, result bytes, memory usage, normalized query hash, peak threads usage, toUInt64(query cache usage)]) AS
metrics values
SELECT
      metrics[i] AS metric,
      anyIf(metrics values[i], first) AS v1,
      anyIf(metrics values[i], second) AS v2,
      formatReadableQuantity(v1 - v2)
FROM clusterAllReplicas(default, system.guery log)
                                                                           Altinity gratefully acknowledges
ARRAY JOIN arrayEnumerate (metrics) AS i
                                                                           this nice example code
WHERE (first OR second) AND (type = 2)
GROUP BY metric
                                                                            developed by Alexey Milovidov
HAVING v1 != v2
                                                                            © 2024 ClickHouse Inc.
ORDER BY
      (v2 - v1) / (v1 + v2) DESC,
      v2 DESC,
      metric ASC
```

🕥 Altinity

Benchmarks: A/B tests of the same query?

	metric	v1-	v2-	formatReadableQuantity(minus(v1, v2))
1.	MarkCacheHits	2704	3054	-350.00
2.	WaitMarksLoadMicroseconds	3 <u>1</u> 39 <u>5</u> 123	13442	31.38 million
3.	DiskS3GetObject	188	0	188.00
4.	DiskS3ReadMicroseconds	1 <u>6</u> 68 <u>5</u> 167	0	16.69 million
5.	DiskS3ReadRequestsCount	188	0	188.00
6.	LoadedMarksCount	<u>1719</u> 631	0	1.72 million
7.	LoadedMarksMemoryBytes	2975448	0	2.98 million
8.	MarkCacheMisses	350	0	350.00
9.	ReadBufferFromS3Bytes	271336302	0	271.34 million
10.	ReadBufferFromS3InitMicroseconds	16966980	0	16.97 million
11.	ReadBufferFromS3Microseconds	23233740	0	23.23 million
12.	S3GetObject	188	0	188.00
13.	S3ReadMicroseconds	16685167	0	16.69 million
14.	S3ReadRequestsCount	188	0	188.00
	15			

Benchmarks: What changed / what was the impact?

You can easily compare the 'before' and 'after' query by query...

https://kb.altinity.com/altinity-kb-useful-queries/compare query log for 2 intervals/



Finding the slow query

CPU usage	OSCPUVirtualTimeMicroseconds / UserTimeMicroseconds	
Disk throughput	read_bytes / written_bytes / DiskReadElapsedMicroseconds / DiskWriteElapsedMicroseconds	
Network	NetworkReceiveBytes / NetworkSendBytes	
RAM	memory_usage	
Zookeeper	ZooKeeperTransactions	
Load Average	number of concurrent queries (count & CurrentMetric_Query) & threads (peak_threads_usage & CurrentMetric_GlobalThreadActive)	



Finding the slow query

```
SELECT
   normalized query hash, _____ Groups similar queries!
   any (query),
   sum(ProfileEvents['OSCPUVirtualTimeMicroseconds']) AS
OSCPUVirtualTime
FROM clusterAllReplicas('{cluster}', system.query log)
WHERE event time between ...
 AND type in (2,4)
GROUP BY normalized query hash
ORDER BY OSCPUVirtualTime DESC - Shows the top of
т.тмтт 30
                                        'metric'-intensive
FORMAT Vertical
```

More complicated example: https://kb.altinity.com/altinity-kb-useful-queries/query_log/

I/O is typically the key metric for performance

"Good" Queries:

- Read "little" GB
- Read it fast: >1GB/sec

"Bad" Queries:

- Read "a lot" GBs
- Read it slow: 10s-100s MB/Sec

1 row in set. Elapsed: 4.002 sec. Processed 2.31 billion rows, 28.06 GB (577.66 million rows/s., 7.01 GB/s.) Peak memory usage: 389.17 MiB.

1 row in set. Elapsed: 160.315 sec. Processed 2.31 billion rows, 868.76 GB (14.42 million rows/s., 5.42 GB/s.) Peak memory usage: 11.58 GiB.

1 row in set. Elapsed: 289.591 sec. Processed 2.31 billion rows, 28.06 GB (7.98 million rows/s., 96.90 MB/s.) Peak memory usage: 277.09 MiB.

🛐 Altinity

What if a query reads a lot...

Full Scan?

- EXPLAIN indexes=1
- EXPLAIN ESTIMATE
- set send_logs_level = 'debug'
- force_primary_key, force_index_by_date, force_data_skipping_indices, force_optimize_projection, force_optimize_projection_name

What about this query?

SELECT toString(date) as date FROM table WHERE date = '2023-01-01'



EXPLAIN indexes = 1 SELECT

[-explain-
1.	Expression ((Project names + Projection))
2.	Aggregating
3.	Expression (Before GROUP BY)
4.	Expression
5.	ReadFromMergeTree (default.ontime)
6.	Indexes:
7.	MinMax
8.	Condition: true
9.	Parts: 35/35
10.	Granules: 24727/24727
11.	Partition
12.	Condition: true
13.	Parts: 35/35
14.	Granules: 24727/24727
15.	PrimaryKey
16.	Keys:
17.	FlightDate
18.	Condition: and((FlightDate in (-Inf, 16841]), (FlightDate in [16801, +Inf)))
19.	Parts: 35/35
20.	Granules: 540/24727

EXPLAIN ESTIMATE ...

```
EXPLAIN ESTIMATE

SELECT

Dest AS d,

Name AS n,

count(*) AS c,

avg(ArrDelayMinutes)

FROM ontime

INNER JOIN airports ON airports.IATA = ontime.Dest

GROUP BY

d,

n

HAVING c > 100000

ORDER BY d DESC

LIMIT 10
```

Query id: 4ebd2eb3-09b7-4cc0-8fec-6f549bed4641

	-database-	-table	parts-	rows	marks
1.	default	airports	1	7543	1
2.	default	ontime	35	20 <u>1</u> 57 <u>5</u> 308	2 <u>4</u> 727

🛐 Altinity



Causes:

'Missing' the WHERE condition WHERE non_pk_col=10

Bad ORDER BY / PRIMARY KEY

ORDER BY (unique_id)

Complex logical expressions

Complex (non-monotonic) functions

Fixes:

Add the WHERE condition WHERE ... AND pk_col='foo'

Fix ORDER BY / PRIMARY KEY

ORDER BY (tenant, category, event)

Simplify expressions

Rewrite use of functions WHERE cityHash64(col) = cityHash64('expr')

Altinity

Not a full scan but still reads a lot...





How PREWHERE works

Normal WHERE logic:

SELECT * FROM table WHERE col1=... **PREWHERE** logic:

SELECT * FROM table WHERE (pk) IN (SELECT pk FROM table WHERE col1=...)

name	-value-	1
optimize_move_to_prewhere	1	İ
optimize_move_to_prewhere_if_final	0	
move_all_conditions_to_prewhere	1	
enable_multiple_prewhere_read_steps	1	
<pre>move_primary_key_columns_to_end_of_prewhere</pre>	1	
query_plan_optimize_prewhere	1	
merge_tree_determine_task_size_by_prewhere_columns	1	l
	1	۰.



Other possible reasons for slow reads

- Slow disk
- Saturated disk (merges? mutations? backup?)
- S3 (is it needed? add cache)
- Overly aggressive compression:
 - CODEC (Gorilla, ZSTD (16)) excellent compression. Never do it!



Reads are fast – query is slow

- Prefer simple things
- Learn 'ClickHouse-ways'
 - Grace Hopper: "The most dangerous phrase in the language is, 'We've always done it this way.'"
 - There Is More Than One Way To Do It Perl's motto is often true for SQL
- Computations: query time vs insert time
 - MATERIALIZED columns
- Process every row & every column only once



Slow expression on every row

```
lowerUTF8(column) = 'foo' => lower(column) = 'foo'
```

column IN ('foo','FOO')

Or maybe just normalize (do lowercase) once at the insert time?



Multiple evaluations



Altinity

Slow aggregation / sorting

- Benchmark it: do simple A/B test without ORDER BY / GROUP BY
- When possible do computations on the aggregated data

sum(10*col) => 10*sum(col) (in simple cases ClickHouse will do it automatically)

• Injective functions / injective dictionaries - apply them after the group by

select dictGet(dict,'attr',col) as col_undict group by col_undict
vs
select dictGet(dict,'attr',col) as col group by col?

- Datatypes matters (prefer simpler)
- Some aggregate functions states can be huge & expensive

Are you sure you need uniqExact not uniqCombined ?

• Low level: two-level aggregation, max_bytes_before_external, distributed_memory_efficient_ etc.

Slow JOINs

No cost-based optimizer!

Do you need JOIN at all?

```
Denormalization (= insert-time join)
```

Dictionaries (~ always in RAM)

```
settings join_algorithm = 'direct', 'grace_hash', 'parallel_hash',
'prefer_partial_merge', 'hash', 'partial_merge', 'full_sorting_merge'
```



Join Optimizations: GROUP BY key first



Altinity

Join Optimizations: replace JOIN with IN

SELECT

toYear(pickup_date),

sum(passenger_count)

FROM tripdata

INNER JOIN taxi zones ON

taxi zones.location id =

pickup location id

WHERE zone = 'Union Sq'

GROUP BY 1 ORDER BY 1

SELECT toYear(pickup_date), sum(passenger_count) FROM tripdata WHERE pickup_location_id in (SELECT location_id from taxi_zones WHERE zone = 'Union Sq') GROUP BY 1 ORDER BY 1

680ms

40ms



Distributed Queries

- How Distributed get rewritten into shard query?
 - deep-most subquery!
- JOIN / IN distributed_product_mode be careful!
- Data locality join on shards etc.
 - sharding key choice can be non-obvious
 - distributed_group_by_no_merge
 - optimize_skip_unused_shards
- Check how much data do they exchange
- prefer_localhost_replica=1 (default) sometimes can create suboptimal pipelines





ATTENTION in 24.3

allow_experimental_analyzer = 1



RAM and Caches

RAM is your friend



© 2024 A

What's in memory?



All about caches https://altinity.com/blog/caching-in-clickhouse-the-definitive-guide-part-1

Altinity

Page Cache and Disk Cache – raw data caches

With page cache – 7 seconds:

```
SELECT event_type, count()
  FROM github_events
  WHERE repo_name ilike
  'ClickHouse/ClickHouse'
   AND title ilike '%cache%'
GROUP BY 1
```

r-event_type	
IssueCommentEvent	1410
IssuesEvent	307
PullRequestEvent	1348
PullRequestReviewCommentEvent	1296
PullRequestReviewEvent	1498
1	

Without page cache – 20 seconds:

SELECT event_type, count()
 FROM github_events
 WHERE repo_name ilike
 'ClickHouse/ClickHouse'
 AND title ilike '%cache%'
GROUP BY 1
SETTINGS min_bytes_to_use_direct_io=1

Metrics: OSReadChars - OSReadBytes = amount of data read from the page cache

🕅 Altinity

© 2024 Altinity, Inc.

Mark Cache and Index Cache – query pipeline caches



Index is used to select marks – always in RAM

Marks are used to fseek data in a column – 5GB by default

SELECT event, value FROM
system.events WHERE event LIKE
'Mark%';

-event	value-
MarkCacheHits	5566956
MarkCacheMisses	84063
	i i

Query Cache – caches final results for repetitive queries

SELECT event_type, count()
 FROM github_events
 WHERE repo_name ilike
 'ClickHouse/ClickHouse'
 AND title ilike '%cache%'
 SETTINGS use_query_cache=1

First run: cache warm up Second run: 0.001s Server configuration:

<query_cache>

<max_size_in_bytes>1073741824</max_size_in_bytes>
<max_entries>1024</max_entries>
<max_entry_size_in_bytes>1048576</max_entry_size_in_bytes>
<max_entry_size_in_rows>30000000</max_entry_size_in_rows>
</query_cache>

Query/profile settings:

SELECT * from system.settings WHERE name LIKE 'query_cache%'

query_cache_ttl
query_cache_min_query_runs
query_cache_min_query_duration

Summary: Things to keep in mind

- More memory is better. 'Unused' memory goes to page cache.
- Using swap slows ClickHouse down significantly. Disable it.
- ClickHouse process is locked in memory

(config.xml:mlock_executable).

- Use <u>max server memory usage to ram ratio</u> to avoid OOM killer
- ClickHouse does not release memory immediately.
- ClickHouse uses the <u>memory overcommit</u> technique
- ClickHouse requires tuning to work in systems with low amount of memory



Optimizing for Concurrency



© 2024 A

100000 concurrent queries...

- May I increase max_concurrent_queries? Not too much.
 - High contention, numerous context switches, elevated load averages, and suboptimal performance
- High concurrency is possible if queries execute almost instantaneously
- Enabling a queue (queue_max_wait_ms) provides a buffer during peak times, helping to manage overflow and maintain system stability
- Decrease max_threads (even to 1) or use concurrent_threads_soft_limit_num
- Load balancing
 - Multiple replicas increase QPS
 - Instead of distributed queries consider intelligent balancing strategies, which will send direct queries to the specific node, instead of running cluster-wide queries.



100000 concurrent queries...

Maybe you need some caching layer on the app side?

Know your load - plan the background jobs carefully

Continuously review and refine every query for performance

Have 'plan B' - it can be throttling, showing cached data or disabling some non-important loads, or plan the dynamic cluster rescaling



Query overhead (high QPS)



simplify queries!

```
log_queries_probability=0..1
```

log level=information



Wrap-up and more information



© 2024 A

Where is the documentation?

ClickHouse official docs – https://clickhouse.com/docs/

Altinity Blog – <u>https://altinity.com/blog/</u>

Altinity Youtube Channel –

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCE3Y2IDKI_ZfjaCrh62onYA

Altinity Knowledge Base – <u>https://kb.altinity.com/</u>

Meetups, other blogs, and external resources. Use your powers of Search!



Where can I get help?

<u>Telegram</u> - <u>ClickHouse Channel</u>

<u>Slack</u>

- ClickHouse Public Workspace clickhousedb.slack.com
- Altinity Public Workspace altinitydbworkspace.slack.com

Education - <u>Altinity ClickHouse Training</u>

Support - Altinity offers support for ClickHouse in all environments

Free Consultation - https://altinity.com/free-clickhouse-consultation/



Thank you and good luck!

Website: <u>https://altinity.com</u> Email: <u>info@altinity.com</u> Slack: <u>altinitydbworkspace.slack.com</u>



<u>Altinity Support</u>

Altinity Stable Builds

We're hiring!

Altinity

(c) 2024 Altinity Inc.